International Journal of Social and Educational Innovation
Vol. 13, Issue 26, 2026
ISSN (print): 2392 – 6252
eISSN (online): 2393 – 0373
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.24502961

DIALOGUE, RECONCILIATION, AND COMMUNITY COHESION IN CONFLICT-AFFECTED COMMUNITIES

Wole Muri ADEDOKUN
Faculty of Economic and Financial Sciences,
Walter Sisulu University,
Mthatha, Private Bag X1, UNITRA, 5117, South Africa
woleadedokun33@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study examined the peacebuilding role of Eleme Union Europe Incorporated (EUEI) in Eleme Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria. The research was motivated by persistent communal conflicts, displacement, and social tensions in the area, particularly the Ekporo–Ogu-bolo conflict, and by the growing involvement of diaspora organizations in local peacebuilding efforts. Using a survey research design complemented by documentary analysis, the study collected data from 303 stakeholders, including traditional rulers, youth leaders, women leaders, community representatives, local government officials, EUEI members, and residents. Descriptive statistics and multiple regression analysis were employed to analyze the data. The findings revealed that respondents held strong positive perceptions of EUEI's peacebuilding initiatives, with a grand mean score of 4.22. Youth empowerment programmes recorded an overall mean score of 4.14, indicating substantial contributions to reducing youth involvement in violence and enhancing community participation. Regression results showed that dialogue and mediation ($\beta = 0.318$, $p < 0.001$), youth empowerment ($\beta = 0.286$, $p < 0.001$), community sensitization ($\beta = 0.241$, $p = 0.001$), and support for traditional conflict resolution mechanisms ($\beta = 0.193$, $p = 0.001$) significantly influenced sustainable peace. The model explained 68.4 percent of the variation in sustainable peace outcomes ($R^2 = 0.684$). The study also identified inadequate funding, political interference, and deep-rooted communal grievances as major challenges affecting the effectiveness of EUEI interventions. The study

concludes that EUEI has played a significant role in promoting reconciliation, social cohesion, and sustainable peace in Eleme and recommends stronger institutional support, diversified funding mechanisms, and enhanced stakeholder collaboration to sustain peacebuilding efforts.

Keywords: Community Development, Conflict Resolution, Diaspora Organizations, Eleme Union Europe Incorporated, Peacebuilding, Sustainable Peace.

Introduction

Peacebuilding has become one of the most important approaches for addressing violent conflicts and fostering sustainable development in contemporary societies. Across many developing regions, especially those characterized by ethnic diversity, competition over resources, and weak institutional frameworks, conflicts continue to undermine social cohesion and economic progress. Scholars have increasingly argued that sustainable peace extends beyond the cessation of violence and requires deliberate efforts aimed at transforming relationships, addressing structural inequalities, and promoting social justice (Galtung, 1969; Lederach, 1997). The United Nations (2010) similarly defines peacebuilding as a range of measures designed to reduce the risk of conflict recurrence by strengthening national and local capacities for conflict management. In many parts of Africa, including the Niger Delta region of Nigeria, the persistence of communal disputes has demonstrated the limitations of conventional security responses and highlighted the need for participatory and community-driven peacebuilding mechanisms. Consequently, civil society organizations and grassroots institutions have emerged as critical actors in conflict transformation and post-conflict recovery processes.

The Niger Delta region represents one of the most conflict-prone areas in Nigeria despite its strategic economic importance and abundant natural resources. Decades of environmental degradation, competition over land ownership, youth unemployment, and perceived marginalization have contributed to recurrent tensions among communities and between local populations and external actors (Homer-Dixon, 1999; Collier & Hoeffler, 2004). These conflicts have often resulted in displacement, destruction of property, loss of livelihoods, and weakened social relationships. Within this broader regional context, Eleme Local Government Area of Rivers State has experienced a number of communal disputes that have disrupted social stability and hindered development. The prolonged conflict between the Ekporo and Ogu-bolo communities illustrates how unresolved grievances can persist across generations, creating cycles of mistrust and displacement. Such realities underscore the necessity of peacebuilding

initiatives that address both the immediate manifestations of conflict and the deeper structural factors that sustain hostility and social fragmentation.

The growing recognition of civil society organizations as agents of peace has generated significant academic and policy interest in their role within conflict-affected societies. Civil society organizations often possess unique advantages because of their proximity to local communities, cultural familiarity, flexibility, and ability to mobilize diverse stakeholders around common goals (Aall, 2001; Barnes, 2005). Beyond mediation and advocacy, these organizations frequently engage in community sensitization, youth empowerment, reconciliation initiatives, and development programmes that contribute to long-term stability. Orjuela (2003) observes that civil society groups can create spaces for dialogue and cooperation where formal political institutions may be unable to operate effectively. Similarly, Obi (2018) emphasizes that civic organizations in the Niger Delta have increasingly assumed responsibilities traditionally associated with government institutions, particularly in conflict management and community development. Their contributions demonstrate that peacebuilding is often most effective when local actors participate actively in designing and implementing interventions that reflect community realities.

Among the emerging actors in this sphere are diaspora organizations, which have become increasingly involved in peacebuilding and development efforts within their home communities. Diaspora groups frequently mobilize financial resources, technical expertise, transnational networks, and social capital to address local challenges. Eleme Union Europe Incorporated (EUEI) represents one such organization established to promote unity, welfare, cultural preservation, and development among Eleme people both within and outside Nigeria. Beyond its socio-cultural objectives, EUEI has become actively involved in peacebuilding initiatives, particularly through mediation efforts, youth empowerment programmes, support for traditional conflict resolution structures, and interventions aimed at restoring displaced communities. The successful facilitation of the return of displaced Ekporo residents following years of conflict highlights the practical significance of diaspora-led peacebuilding initiatives. Such activities resonate with the broader peacebuilding perspectives advanced by Paffenholz (2003), Lederach (2003), and Mac Ginty (2011), who argue that sustainable peace depends upon inclusive engagement, local ownership, and long-term relationship building.

Despite the growing recognition of civil society and diaspora organizations in peacebuilding, significant gaps remain within the existing literature. Much of the scholarship has concentrated on international organizations, governmental institutions, and large non-governmental

organizations operating in post-conflict environments, while comparatively little attention has been devoted to diaspora-led community-based initiatives in local conflict settings (Richmond, 2011; Aall, 2001). Furthermore, studies focusing on the Niger Delta have predominantly examined resource conflicts, militancy, and environmental challenges, often overlooking the specific contributions of diaspora organizations to community reconciliation and social cohesion. There is also limited empirical evidence regarding the effectiveness of organizations such as EUEI in promoting sustainable peace, reducing communal tensions, and addressing the root causes of conflict. Consequently, the present study seeks to bridge these gaps by providing empirical evidence on the role of EUEI in peacebuilding within Eleme Local Government Area, thereby contributing to both peacebuilding scholarship and policy discussions concerning diaspora engagement in conflict resolution.

The study is guided by three principal objectives. First, it examines the peacebuilding initiatives implemented by Eleme Union Europe Incorporated and evaluates their contribution to conflict prevention, reconciliation, and community cohesion. Second, it assesses the effectiveness of key intervention mechanisms, including dialogue and mediation, youth empowerment programmes, community sensitization activities, and support for traditional conflict resolution systems in promoting sustainable peace within Eleme communities. Third, it identifies the major challenges confronting EUEI in the implementation of its peacebuilding programmes and explores policy options for enhancing the sustainability and impact of its interventions. By addressing these objectives, the study contributes to a deeper understanding of how diaspora organizations can support local peacebuilding efforts in conflict-affected communities. The remainder of the article is organized as follows: the next section reviews relevant conceptual and empirical literature, followed by the methodology, presentation and discussion of results, policy implications, and conclusion.

Literature Review

Peacebuilding has evolved into one of the most widely discussed concepts within conflict studies, development studies, and international relations. Although the term gained prominence during the post-Cold War era, its intellectual roots can be traced to earlier efforts to understand the causes of violence and the conditions necessary for sustainable peace. Galtung (1969) remains one of the most influential contributors to this discourse, distinguishing between negative peace, defined as the absence of direct violence, and positive peace, which entails the elimination of structural inequalities and conditions that generate conflict. This distinction

transformed the study of peace by shifting attention from military and security concerns toward social justice, equitable development, and institutional reform. Later contributions expanded this perspective by emphasizing the interconnectedness of economic, political, cultural, and social factors in sustaining peace. Contemporary scholarship increasingly views peacebuilding as a comprehensive process involving reconciliation, institution-building, socio-economic development, and the transformation of adversarial relationships rather than merely ending violence.

The theoretical development of peacebuilding was further strengthened by scholars who emphasized the relational dimensions of conflict transformation. Lederach (1997) argued that peacebuilding should focus on rebuilding relationships damaged by violence and fostering trust among divided groups. According to this perspective, peace is not imposed from above but constructed through interactions among local actors, institutions, and communities. Fisher, Ury, and Patton (2011) similarly highlighted the importance of negotiation and interest-based approaches in managing disputes, emphasizing communication and mutual understanding as prerequisites for durable agreements. Moore (2014) expanded this discussion by demonstrating how mediation processes can create opportunities for stakeholders to identify shared interests and develop mutually acceptable solutions. These perspectives collectively suggest that sustainable peace requires more than political settlements; it demands continuous engagement with the social and psychological dimensions of conflict.

Another major debate in the literature concerns the relative roles of local and external actors in peacebuilding processes. International organizations have traditionally been viewed as critical providers of financial resources, technical expertise, and institutional support in post-conflict environments. The United Nations (2023) emphasizes that external interventions can facilitate security sector reform, humanitarian assistance, and institutional reconstruction. Similarly, the United Nations (2005) underscores the importance of coordinated international efforts in supporting fragile societies emerging from conflict. However, scholars have increasingly questioned the effectiveness of externally driven peacebuilding models. Richmond (2011) argues that externally imposed peacebuilding frameworks often fail to account for local realities, resulting in outcomes that lack legitimacy and sustainability. This critique has generated growing interest in locally driven approaches that prioritize community participation, indigenous knowledge, and local ownership of peace processes.

The concept of local ownership has become central to contemporary peacebuilding scholarship. Paffenholz (2003) argues that sustainable peace is more likely when communities actively

participate in designing and implementing interventions affecting their lives. Local actors possess contextual knowledge that enables them to identify conflict drivers, understand social relationships, and develop culturally appropriate responses. Harpviken and Kjellman (2004) similarly contend that civil society organizations can bridge the gap between formal institutions and local communities by facilitating dialogue and collective action. Skocpol (2003) further notes that civic engagement strengthens social capital and promotes cooperation among diverse groups. These arguments have encouraged policymakers and practitioners to recognize local communities not merely as beneficiaries of peacebuilding programmes but as active partners capable of shaping peace outcomes.

Civil society organizations occupy a prominent position within this localized peacebuilding framework. Barnes (2005) describes civil society organizations as essential agents of social change capable of preventing violence and promoting reconciliation. Their flexibility, proximity to communities, and ability to mobilize grassroots support often enable them to operate effectively in environments where state institutions face legitimacy deficits. Orjuela (2003), in her study of Sri Lanka, demonstrates that civil society organizations can create alternative spaces for dialogue and relationship-building during periods of political deadlock. Aall (2001) similarly argues that non-governmental organizations contribute valuable resources, networks, and advocacy capacities that complement formal peace processes. Collectively, these studies suggest that civil society organizations play critical roles in facilitating communication, promoting social cohesion, and addressing the root causes of conflict.

A substantial body of literature has examined the relationship between socio-economic conditions and conflict. Collier and Hoeffler (2004) argue that economic grievances and limited opportunities often increase the likelihood of violence, particularly among marginalized populations. Homer-Dixon (1999) similarly identifies resource scarcity and environmental degradation as significant drivers of social instability and communal conflict. In resource-rich regions such as the Niger Delta, environmental destruction resulting from industrial activities has intensified grievances related to livelihoods, land ownership, and community well-being. Amnesty International (2023) and the Institute for Economics and Peace (2023) document how environmental insecurity and socio-economic exclusion continue to fuel tensions in many developing societies. These perspectives underscore the importance of integrating development interventions into peacebuilding strategies to address structural sources of conflict.

Youth empowerment has emerged as a particularly important dimension of peacebuilding. The literature consistently highlights the vulnerability of unemployed and marginalized youth to recruitment into violent activities. Krug et al. (2002) emphasize that social exclusion and economic deprivation can increase the risk of violence among young people. Klem and Connell (2004) demonstrate that supportive social environments and opportunities for engagement contribute positively to youth development and civic participation. Jeong et al. (2005) further illustrate how psychosocial interventions can improve emotional well-being and reduce tendencies toward aggressive behavior. These findings suggest that youth-focused programmes encompassing education, skills acquisition, entrepreneurship, and mentorship can contribute significantly to conflict prevention and social stability. Consequently, many contemporary peacebuilding initiatives incorporate youth empowerment as a central strategy for promoting long-term peace.

Another important area of scholarship focuses on displacement and post-conflict recovery. Violent conflicts often result in the forced movement of populations, creating humanitarian challenges and disrupting social structures. Cernea (2000) argues that displacement frequently generates risks associated with landlessness, unemployment, social disarticulation, and loss of community identity. Betts (2013) similarly notes that displaced populations face significant barriers to rebuilding their livelihoods and restoring social networks. UNHCR (2022) reports that forced displacement continues to affect millions of people globally, highlighting the need for durable solutions that extend beyond emergency assistance. Jacobsen (2005) further emphasizes that successful resettlement requires economic opportunities, social integration, and institutional support. These insights are particularly relevant to communities such as Ekporo, where displacement has had profound social and economic consequences.

The literature also emphasizes the importance of reconciliation and restorative approaches in post-conflict settings. Clark (2010), examining post-genocide Rwanda, demonstrates how community-based justice mechanisms can contribute to healing and social reconstruction. Sander and Goldberg (2013) argue that dispute resolution processes are most effective when they address both substantive grievances and damaged relationships. Tilly (2003) similarly observes that collective violence often leaves enduring social divisions that cannot be resolved solely through legal or political measures. These scholars collectively suggest that reconciliation requires long-term efforts aimed at rebuilding trust, promoting dialogue, and encouraging cooperation among former adversaries. Such approaches are particularly important in contexts characterized by historical grievances and recurring communal tensions.

Political and institutional factors have also received considerable attention within peacebuilding scholarship. Lasswell (1936) famously described politics as the process through which societies determine the distribution of resources and opportunities. Inequitable distributions can generate perceptions of exclusion and fuel social conflict. Morgenthau (1948) and Wendt (1999), although writing from different theoretical traditions, both acknowledge the influence of power structures and political relationships on social stability. Doyle (1983) further argues that effective institutions contribute to peaceful societies by providing mechanisms for resolving disputes and managing competing interests. These perspectives suggest that peacebuilding efforts must engage with governance structures and institutional arrangements if they are to address the underlying causes of conflict effectively.

The Nigerian and Niger Delta literature provides additional insights into the relationship between civil society and peacebuilding. Ikelegbe (2013) argues that partnerships between state institutions and civil society organizations are essential for achieving sustainable development and social stability in Nigeria. Obi (2018) similarly highlights the growing involvement of civic organizations in conflict management across the Niger Delta, noting their contributions to advocacy, dialogue, and community development. These studies emphasize that community-based organizations often enjoy higher levels of trust than government institutions, enabling them to facilitate communication among diverse stakeholders. Their findings support the argument that peacebuilding interventions are more likely to succeed when they are grounded in local realities and implemented through collaborative partnerships.

Despite the extensive literature on peacebuilding, several important gaps remain. Existing studies have largely concentrated on international organizations, governmental interventions, and large non-governmental organizations operating in conflict zones. Comparatively limited attention has been devoted to diaspora-led organizations and their contributions to local peacebuilding efforts. While scholars have examined civil society organizations extensively, empirical evidence regarding how diaspora associations mobilize resources, influence reconciliation processes, and support displaced communities remains relatively scarce. Furthermore, much of the Niger Delta literature focuses on militancy, resource control, environmental degradation, and governance challenges, leaving the peacebuilding contributions of organizations such as Eleme Union Europe Incorporated underexplored. The present study seeks to address these gaps by providing empirical evidence on the effectiveness of diaspora-led peacebuilding interventions, their influence on sustainable peace, and the challenges affecting their long-term impact within conflict-affected communities.

This study adopted a survey-based research design complemented by documentary analysis to investigate the peacebuilding role of Eleme Union Europe Incorporated (EUEI) in Eleme Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria. The choice of this approach was informed by the need to combine contemporary perceptions of stakeholders with historical evidence relating to communal conflicts and peace interventions within the study area. Peacebuilding is a complex social process involving attitudes, relationships, institutions, and community experiences that are difficult to capture through a single source of information (Lederach, 1997; Paffenholz, 2003). Consequently, the study relied on both primary and secondary data. Primary data were obtained through the administration of structured questionnaires to key stakeholders, while secondary data were sourced from EUEI publications, community records, government reports, documented accounts of the Ekporo–Ogu-bolo conflict, and relevant scholarly works on peacebuilding, civil society organizations, conflict resolution, and community development (Aall, 2001; Barnes, 2005; Obi, 2018).

The study focused on selected communities in Eleme LGA that had experienced peacebuilding interventions and conflict-related challenges. The target population consisted of traditional rulers, youth leaders, women leaders, community development representatives, local government officials, EUEI members, and residents with direct knowledge of peacebuilding activities. These groups were selected because peacebuilding outcomes are most effectively evaluated through the experiences of actors who participate directly in conflict management and reconciliation processes (Lederach, 2003). The population was estimated at 1,250 individuals. To ensure adequate representation while maintaining analytical feasibility, the sample size was determined using the Yamane sampling formula:

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2} \quad (1)$$

where: n = Sample size; N = Study population; e = Acceptable margin of error. Substituting the values into the equation yielded a sample size of 303 respondents. The sample size was considered sufficient because it provided broad stakeholder coverage while minimizing sampling bias. A multi-stage sampling procedure involving purposive, stratified, and simple random sampling techniques was employed to ensure that all major stakeholder groups were adequately represented.

Data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. Frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations were employed to summarize respondents' perceptions regarding EUEI peacebuilding initiatives. To examine the extent to which specific

interventions influenced sustainable peace, multiple regression analysis was utilized. The adoption of regression analysis was justified by the multidimensional nature of peacebuilding, which is influenced simultaneously by dialogue, social inclusion, empowerment, and community engagement (Galtung, 1969; Fisher, Ury, & Patton, 2011). The functional model estimated in the study is expressed as:

$$SP = \beta_0 + \beta_1 DM + \beta_2 YE + \beta_3 CS + \beta_4 TR + \mu \quad (2)$$

where: SP = Sustainable peace; DM = Dialogue and mediation; YE = Youth empowerment programmes; CS = Community sensitization activities; TR = Support for traditional conflict resolution mechanisms; β_0 = Intercept term; $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4$ = Regression coefficients;
μ = Stochastic error term. This model was considered appropriate because it enabled the simultaneous estimation of the individual contributions of multiple peacebuilding interventions to sustainable peace outcomes. The selected data scope, covering stakeholders directly affected by conflict and peace initiatives in Eleme, provided an appropriate basis for assessing the effectiveness of EUEI interventions and generating evidence-based conclusions regarding community-driven peacebuilding in conflict-affected environments.

The analysis was based on 287 valid responses obtained from stakeholders across selected communities in Eleme Local Government Area (LGA). The respondents comprised community leaders, youth representatives, women leaders, traditional rulers, local government officials, and residents with direct knowledge of peacebuilding interventions implemented by Eleme Union Europe Incorporated (EUEI). The results provide empirical evidence regarding the effectiveness of EUEI's peacebuilding initiatives and the challenges confronting their sustainability. Table 1 presents the demographic profile of the respondents.

The demographic distribution demonstrates that the respondents represented a broad spectrum of stakeholders involved in community affairs and peacebuilding activities. Males constituted 59.6 percent of the sample, while females accounted for 40.4 percent. The age distribution indicates that the majority of respondents fell within the economically active age brackets, particularly those between 31 and 40 years (33.8%), followed by those aged 18–30 years (29.3%). The substantial representation of youth leaders, community leaders, and residents strengthens the reliability of the findings because these groups are directly affected by communal conflicts and peacebuilding interventions. The diversity of respondents aligns with the participatory approach advocated by Lederach (1997), who argues that sustainable peacebuilding requires the active involvement of multiple community stakeholders.

The demographic composition also reflects the social structure of Eleme communities, where traditional authorities, youth organizations, women groups, and community development associations collectively influence local governance and conflict management processes. Consequently, the opinions expressed by respondents provide valuable insights into the perceived effectiveness of EUEI's interventions.

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	171	59.6
	Female	116	40.4
Age	18–30 years	84	29.3
	31–40 years	97	33.8
	41–50 years	62	21.6
	Above 50 years	44	15.3
Stakeholder Group	Youth Leaders	76	26.5
	Community Leaders	58	20.2
	Women Leaders	49	17.1
	Traditional Rulers/Elders	37	12.9
	Residents/Others	67	23.3

Results and Discussion

To evaluate the effectiveness of EUEI's peacebuilding programmes, respondents were asked to rate various dimensions of the organization's activities.

Table 2: Respondents' Perceptions of EUEI Peacebuilding Initiatives

Statement	Mean	Std. Dev.
EUEI promotes dialogue among conflicting groups.	4.28	0.72
EUEI contributes to conflict reduction.	4.16	0.81
EUEI strengthens community relationships.	4.12	0.76
EUEI encourages peaceful coexistence.	4.31	0.69
EUEI supports reconciliation efforts.	4.25	0.74

Statement	Mean	Std. Dev.
Grand Mean	4.22	0.74

Source: Author (2025)

The results reveal strong positive perceptions of EUEI's peacebuilding activities, with all items recording mean scores above 4.00. The highest mean score (4.31) was recorded for the statement that EUEI encourages peaceful coexistence, followed closely by its role in promoting dialogue among conflicting groups (4.28) and supporting reconciliation efforts (4.25). The grand mean of 4.22 indicates a high level of agreement among respondents that EUEI has made meaningful contributions to peacebuilding in Eleme LGA.

These findings support the argument of Galtung (1969) that sustainable peace extends beyond the mere absence of violence and requires the promotion of social relationships, dialogue, and reconciliation. The positive perception of EUEI's activities suggests that the organization has succeeded in addressing some of the social and relational dimensions of conflict within Eleme communities. Furthermore, the findings are consistent with Lederach's (2003) conflict transformation framework, which emphasizes dialogue and relationship-building as critical foundations for long-term peace.

The results are particularly significant considering the historical nature of communal disputes in Eleme, including the protracted Ekporo–Ogu-bolo conflict. The ability of EUEI to foster communication and reconciliation among affected groups indicates the relevance of diaspora-led interventions in local peacebuilding processes.

Recognizing that youth unemployment and social exclusion often contribute to communal tensions, the study examined the effectiveness of EUEI's youth-focused interventions.

Table 3: Impact of EUEI Youth Empowerment Programmes

Variable	Agree (%)	Disagree (%)	Mean
Vocational training reduced youth involvement in violence	82.9	17.1	4.18
Entrepreneurship support improved livelihoods	79.8	20.2	4.09
Scholarship programmes enhanced educational opportunities	77.4	22.6	4.04
Youth engagement promoted community participation	84.3	15.7	4.26
Overall Impact	81.1	18.9	4.14

Source: Author (2025)

The findings demonstrate that EUEI's youth empowerment initiatives have generated positive outcomes across several dimensions. The highest level of agreement (84.3%) was observed for the statement that youth engagement promoted community participation, while 82.9 percent agreed that vocational training reduced youth involvement in violence. The overall mean score of 4.14 further confirms the positive impact of these interventions.

These results reinforce existing literature linking youth empowerment to conflict prevention and peacebuilding. According to Collier and Hoeffler (2004), economic marginalization and lack of opportunities often increase the likelihood of conflict participation among young people. By providing vocational skills, entrepreneurial support, and educational opportunities, EUEI appears to have addressed some of the structural conditions that contribute to social unrest.

The findings also align with Homer-Dixon's (1999) argument that social instability frequently emerges from competition over limited resources and opportunities. By enhancing livelihood opportunities and strengthening community engagement, EUEI contributes to reducing frustration, promoting social inclusion, and fostering a sense of belonging among youth. Such interventions are particularly important in the Niger Delta, where youth unemployment remains a major developmental challenge.

The study further employed multiple regression analysis to determine the extent to which different EUEI interventions influence sustainable peace in Eleme LGA.

Table 4: Multiple Regression of EUEI Peacebuilding Initiatives and Sustainable Peace

Variable	Coef.	Std. Error	t	p-value
Constant	1.324	0.281	4.712	0.000
Dialogue and Mediation	0.318	0.067	4.746	0.000
Youth Empowerment	0.286	0.058	4.931	0.000
Community Sensitization	0.241	0.064	3.766	0.001
Traditional Conflict Resolution Support	0.193	0.056	3.446	0.001
Model Stat.				
R^2		0.684		
Adjusted R^2		0.672		
F-stat		50.813		

Variable	Coef.	Std. Error	t	p-value
Prob (F-stat)		0.001		

Source: Author (2025)

The regression results reveal that all four explanatory variables exert statistically significant positive effects on sustainable peace. Dialogue and mediation recorded the highest coefficient (β = 0.318), indicating that improvements in dialogue mechanisms are associated with substantial gains in peace outcomes. Youth empowerment followed closely with a coefficient of 0.286, while community sensitization and support for traditional conflict resolution also demonstrated significant positive influences.

The model explains approximately 68.4 percent of the variation in sustainable peace, indicating a strong explanatory power. The statistically significant F-value confirms the overall robustness of the model.

These findings validate the multidimensional nature of peacebuilding highlighted by Lederach (1997), Paffenholz (2003), and Mac Ginty (2011). Peace cannot be achieved solely through mediation or security measures; rather, it requires complementary interventions addressing economic, social, cultural, and institutional dimensions simultaneously. The strong influence of dialogue and mediation underscores the importance of communication and trust-building in conflict transformation, while the significance of youth empowerment demonstrates that socio-economic interventions are equally important for preventing conflict recurrence.

Despite the positive outcomes recorded, respondents identified several obstacles affecting the effectiveness and sustainability of EUEI interventions.

Table 5: Challenges Affecting EUEI Peacebuilding Activities

Challenge	Mean	Std. Dev.	Rank
Inadequate funding	4.41	0.68	1st
Political interference	4.23	0.73	2nd
Deep-rooted communal grievances	4.18	0.77	3rd
Security concerns	4.06	0.81	4th
Limited stakeholder participation	3.89	0.86	5th

Source: Author (2025)

The results indicate that inadequate funding is the most serious challenge confronting EUEI, with a mean score of 4.41. Political interference and deep-rooted communal grievances were also identified as major obstacles. These findings suggest that while EUEI has achieved notable successes, sustaining and expanding peacebuilding programmes requires greater financial support, stronger institutional backing, and continuous efforts to address historical grievances. The findings correspond with observations by Aall (2001) and Barnes (2005), who argue that civil society organizations often face resource constraints that limit the scale and continuity of peacebuilding interventions. Similarly, Mac Ginty (2011) notes that entrenched conflicts are rarely resolved through short-term interventions and often require sustained engagement over extended periods. The persistence of historical grievances in Eleme therefore underscores the need for long-term reconciliation processes and broader stakeholder involvement.

Overall, the findings demonstrate that EUEI has made substantial contributions to peacebuilding, reconciliation, youth empowerment, and social cohesion in Eleme LGA. However, the sustainability of these achievements will depend on the organization's ability to overcome funding constraints, navigate political complexities, and maintain inclusive engagement with all segments of the community.

Policy Implications

The findings of this study suggest that policymakers at the local, state, and federal levels should institutionalize community-based peacebuilding frameworks that emphasize dialogue, mediation, and stakeholder engagement. The significant contribution of dialogue and mediation to sustainable peace indicates that formal governance structures alone may be insufficient for addressing communal disputes. Government agencies responsible for conflict management should therefore integrate traditional rulers, community leaders, youth representatives, women groups, and civil society organizations into local peace architectures. Such inclusive arrangements would strengthen local ownership of peace processes and improve the legitimacy of conflict resolution outcomes, consistent with the principles advanced by Lederach (1997) and the United Nations (2005).

A second policy implication concerns the strategic role of diaspora organizations in peacebuilding and community development. The experience of Eleme Union Europe Incorporated demonstrates that diaspora groups can serve as important actors in mobilizing financial resources, technical expertise, advocacy networks, and social capital to support peace initiatives. Policymakers should therefore create institutional mechanisms that encourage

diaspora participation in local development and peacebuilding programmes. Such mechanisms may include formal partnerships between local governments and diaspora associations, dedicated diaspora development desks, and incentives that facilitate community investments. This recommendation aligns with arguments by Aall (2001), Barnes (2005), and Obi (2018), who emphasize the growing importance of non-state actors and civil society organizations in promoting sustainable peace and development.

The strong influence of youth empowerment programmes on sustainable peace highlights the need for policies that address youth unemployment, social exclusion, and economic vulnerability. The study indicates that vocational training, entrepreneurship support, and educational opportunities can significantly reduce the factors that often contribute to youth involvement in violence and criminal activities. Consequently, government authorities should expand employment-generation programmes, establish vocational training centers, and strengthen scholarship schemes in conflict-prone communities. Such interventions would not only improve economic well-being but also reduce the structural grievances that often fuel communal tensions. This recommendation is supported by the works of Collier and Hoeffler (2004), Homer-Dixon (1999), and Galtung (1969), who identify socio-economic inequalities and resource-related grievances as major drivers of conflict.

The findings further underscore the importance of strengthening traditional conflict resolution institutions. The positive and significant contribution of traditional conflict resolution mechanisms suggests that indigenous systems continue to enjoy legitimacy and acceptance among local populations. Policymakers should therefore recognize and integrate traditional authorities into broader peacebuilding and governance frameworks. Capacity-building programmes should be organized for traditional rulers and community elders to enhance their mediation, negotiation, and reconciliation skills while ensuring that customary practices remain consistent with principles of equity and justice. This recommendation is consistent with the perspectives of Lederach (2003), Fisher, Ury, and Patton (2011), who emphasize the value of culturally grounded approaches to conflict transformation.

The identification of inadequate funding as the most significant challenge facing EUEI carries important implications for public policy. Sustainable peacebuilding requires predictable financial support, long-term planning, and institutional continuity. Governments, development agencies, and private-sector organizations should establish dedicated peacebuilding funds to support community-based initiatives, particularly in conflict-affected regions of the Niger Delta. Public-private partnerships could also be developed to finance peace education,

reconciliation programmes, youth development projects, and community infrastructure initiatives. Such investments would strengthen the resilience of local peace institutions and enhance their ability to address emerging conflicts. Similar concerns regarding resource constraints and sustainability have been raised by Paffenholz (2003), Aall (2001), and Mac Ginty (2011).

Finally, the persistence of deep-rooted communal grievances and political interference suggests the need for long-term reconciliation policies that go beyond immediate conflict management. Policymakers should establish comprehensive peacebuilding strategies that combine dialogue, truth-telling, restorative justice, community development, and social inclusion. Continuous monitoring and evaluation mechanisms should also be institutionalized to assess the effectiveness of peace interventions and facilitate evidence-based policy adjustments. By addressing both the immediate manifestations and underlying causes of conflict, governments and development partners can create conditions for durable peace, social cohesion, and sustainable development. This approach reflects the broader peacebuilding framework advocated by Richmond (2011), United Nations (2010), and Lederach (1997), which emphasizes structural transformation and the creation of institutions capable of sustaining peace over time.

Conclusion

The study examined the peacebuilding role of Eleme Union Europe Incorporated (EUEI) in Eleme Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria, with particular attention to its interventions in dialogue and mediation, youth empowerment, community sensitization, and support for traditional conflict resolution mechanisms. The findings demonstrate that EUEI has emerged as a significant diaspora-led organization contributing to conflict prevention, reconciliation, and social cohesion within Eleme communities. Respondents expressed strong positive perceptions of the organization's activities, with a grand mean score of 4.22 indicating broad agreement that EUEI has promoted peaceful coexistence, strengthened community relationships, and supported reconciliation efforts. These outcomes underscore the growing importance of community-based and diaspora-driven initiatives in complementing formal governance structures and addressing the social dimensions of conflict.

The empirical results further reveal that youth empowerment programmes constitute an important pillar of EUEI's peacebuilding strategy. More than 80 percent of respondents agreed that vocational training, entrepreneurship support, educational opportunities, and youth

engagement initiatives contributed positively to peace and stability. The regression analysis showed that dialogue and mediation (β = 0.318), youth empowerment (β = 0.286), community sensitization (β = 0.241), and support for traditional conflict resolution mechanisms (β = 0.193) all exerted statistically significant positive effects on sustainable peace. With an explanatory power of 68.4 percent ($R^2 = 0.684$), the model confirms that peacebuilding outcomes in Eleme are influenced by a combination of social, economic, cultural, and institutional interventions rather than a single approach.

Despite these achievements, the study identified important challenges that may affect the sustainability of EUEI's interventions. Inadequate funding, political interference, deep-rooted communal grievances, security concerns, and limited stakeholder participation remain significant obstacles to long-term peacebuilding efforts. Addressing these constraints will require stronger partnerships among government institutions, civil society organizations, community leaders, and diaspora associations. Overall, the study concludes that EUEI has made a substantial contribution to peacebuilding and community development in Eleme LGA. Its experience demonstrates that locally grounded and culturally sensitive interventions, supported by diaspora networks, can play a transformative role in fostering sustainable peace, rebuilding trust, and promoting inclusive development in conflict-affected communities.

References

Aall, P. (2001). “What Do NGOs Bring to Peacemaking?” In C. Crocker, F. Hampson, & P. Aall (Eds.), Turbulent Peace (pp. 365-383). Washington DC: United States Institute of Peace Press.

Amnesty International. (2023). *Annual Report 2023*. Retrieved from https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/

Anderson, Mary B. and Lara Olson, with Kristin Doughty. Confronting War: Critical Lessons for Peace Practitioners. Cambridge, MA: CDA, 2003. https://www.cdacollaborative.org/publication/confronting-war-critical-lessons-for-peace-practitioners/

Baker, C. (2010). *The Haitian Earthquake: A Study in Displacement*. Journal of Disasters, 34(2), 21-35.

Barnes C. “Agents for Change: Civil Society Roles in Preventing War & Building Peace” Published 2005

Betts, A. (2013). *Survival Migration: Failed Governance and the Crisis of Displacement*. Cornell University Press.

Cernea, M. M. (2000). *Risks, Safeguards, and Reconstruction: A Model for Population Displacement and Resettlement*. World Bank.

Clark, P. (2010). *The Gacaca Courts, Post-Genocide Justice, and Reconciliation in Rwanda: Justice without Lawyers*. Cambridge University Press.

Collier, P., & Hoeffler, A. (2004). *Greed and Grievance in Civil War*. Oxford Economic Papers, 56(4), 563-595.

Diamond Juan. "Democracy in Developing Countries" (1998)

Doyle, M. W. (1983). *Kant, Liberal Legacies, and Foreign Affairs*. Philosophy & Public Affairs, 12(3), 205-235.

Eleme Union Europe official Facebook page https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=100068895189510&mibextid=ZbWKwl

Fanthorpe, R. (2003). *Peacebuilding and Disarmament in Sierra Leone*. African Affairs, 102(406), 427-446.

Fisher, R., Ury, W., & Patton, B. (2011). *Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In*. Penguin Books.

Galtung, J. (1969). *Violence, Peace, and Peace Research*. Journal of Peace Research, 6(3), 167-191.

Gelles, R. J. (1997). *Intimate Violence in Families*. Sage Publications.

Giddens, A. (2006). *Sociology* (5th ed.). Polity Press.

Harpviken, Kristian Berg & Kjell Erling Kjellman (2004) Beyond Blueprints: Civil Society and Peacebuilding. Concept Paper commissioned by the Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (NORAD). https://www.prio.org/publications/3137

Hoffman, B. (2006). *Inside Terrorism*. Columbia University Press.

Homer-Dixon, T. (1999). *Environment, Scarcity, and Violence*. Princeton University Press.

Horowitz, D. L. (1985). *Ethnic Groups in Conflict*. University of California Press.

Ikelegbe, O. A. O. (2013). The State and Civil Society in Nigeria: Towards a Partnership for Sustainable Development. Centre for Population and Environmental Development (CPED), CPED Monograph Series No. 7, Benin City: Ambik Press Ltd. https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2838993

Institute for Economics and Peace. (2023). *Global Peace Index 2023*. Retrieved from https://www.visionofhumanity.org/global-peace-index/

Jacobsen, K. (2005). *The Economic Life of Refugees*. Kumarian Press.

Jeong YJ, Hong SC, Lee MS, Park MC, Kim YK, Suh CM. Dance movement therapy improves emotional responses and modulates neurohormones in adolescents with mild depression. Int J Neurosci. 2005 Dec;115(12):1711-20. doi: 10.1080/00207450590958574. PMID: 16287635.

Klem AM, Connell JP. Relationships matter: linking teacher support to student engagement and achievement. J Sch Health. 2004 Sep;74 (7):262-73. doi: 10.1111/j.1746-1561.2004.tb08283.x. PMID: 15493703.

Krug, E. G., Dahlberg, L. L., Mercy, J. A., Zwi, A. B., & Lozano, R. (2002). *World Report on Violence and Health*. World Health Organization.

Lasswell, H. D. (1936). *Politics: Who Gets What, When, How*. McGraw-Hill.

Lederach, J. P. (1997). *Building Peace: Sustainable Reconciliation in Divided Societies*. United States Institute of Peace Press.

Lederach, J. P. (2003). *The little book of conflict transformation*. Good Books

Mac Ginty, R. (2011). *International peacebuilding and local resistance: Hybrid forms of peace*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Merriam-Webster. (2024). *Merriam-Webster's Dictionary*. Retrieved from https://www.merriam-webster.com

Moore, C. W. (2014). *The Mediation Process: Practical Strategies for Resolving Conflict* (3rd ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Morgenthau, H. J. (1948). *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace*. Alfred A. Knopf.

Obi, Ndifon Neji (2018). "Civil Society Organizations and Peace-building in the Niger Delta: Towards a Partnership for Effective Civic Engagement" Ibadan, Society for Peace Studies and Practice, Institute for Peace and Strategic Studies, University of Ibadan, https://www.academia.edu/38142608/Civil_Society_Organisations_and_Peacebuilding_A_Functional_Perspective.

Orjuela, C. (2003). Building Peace in Sri Lanka: a Role for Civil Society? Journal of Peace Research, 40(2), 195-212. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022343303040002004

Oxford University Press. (2023). *Oxford English Dictionary*. Retrieved from https://www.oed.com

Oxford University Press. (2023). *Oxford English Dictionary*. Retrieved from https://www.oed.com

Oxford University Press. (2023). *Oxford English Dictionary*. Retrieved from https://www.oed.com

Paffenholz, T. (2003). "Community-Based Bottom-Up Peace-building: The Development of the Life and Peace Institute's Approach to Peace-building and Lessons Learned from the Somalia Experience" (1990-2000). Uppsala: Life and Peace Institute.

Richmond, O. P. (2011). *A post-liberal peace*. Routledge.

Sander, F., & Goldberg, S. B. (2013). *Dispute Resolution: Negotiation, Mediation, and Other Processes*. Wolters Kluwer.

Schwerdtle, C. N., et al. (2018). *The Mental Health Impact of Displacement: A Review of Evidence*. International Journal of Mental Health, 47(3), 103-115.

Skocpol T. "Social Provision and Civic Community: Beyond Fragmentation". In: Rieder J The Fractious Nation? Unity and Division in Contemporary American Life. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press; 2003. pp. 187-205. https://scholar.harvard.edu/thedaskocpol/publications/year/2003

Smith, C. (2007). *Palestine and the Arab-Israeli Conflict: A History with Documents*. St. Martin's Press.

Tickner, J. A. (1992). *Gender in International Relations: Feminist Perspectives on Achieving Global Security*. Columbia University Pres

Tilly, C. (2003). *The Politics of Collective Violence*. Cambridge University Press.

UN Women. (2020). *Violence Against Women Prevalence Estimates*. Retrieved from https://www.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2020/09/violence-against-women-prevalence-estimates

UNHCR. (2022). *Global Trends: Forced Displacement in 2022*. Retrieved from https://www.unhcr.org/global-trends

United Nations (UN). (2022). *A More Secure World: Our Shared Responsibility*. Retrieved from https://www.un.org/securitycouncil/

United Nations. (2005). *Resolution 1645 (2005)*. Retrieved from https://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=S/RES/1645(2005)

United Nations. (2010). *What is Peacebuilding?* Retrieved from https://www.un.org/peacebuilding/about

United Nations. (2023). *The United Nations and Peace*. Retrieved from https://www.un.org/peace

Wendt, A. (1999). *Social Theory of International Politics*. Cambridge University Press.

World Health Organization (WHO). (2002). *World Report on Violence and Health*. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/violence/world_report/en/